arXiv:1110.3409v1 [math-ph] 15 Oct 2011

Contact transformations for difference schemes

Decio Levi [1], Christian Scimiterna [2], Zora Thomova [3] and Pavel Winternitz [4]

October 18, 2011

[1] Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettronica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre and Sezione INFN, Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale, 84, 00146 Roma, Italy
[2] Dipartimento di Fisica and Ingegneria Elettronica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre and Sezione INFN, Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale, 84, 00146 Roma, Italy
[3] Department of Engineering, Sciences and Mathematics, SUNY Institute of Technology, 100 Seymour Road, Utica, NY 13502, USA
[4] Centre de recherche mathématiques and Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université de Montréal, Case postale 6128, succ. centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada

Abstract

We define a class of transformations of the dependent and independent variables in an ordinary difference scheme. The transformations leave the solution set of the system invariant and reduces to a group of contact transformations in the continuous limit. We use a simple example to show that the class is not empty and that such "contact transformations for discrete systems" genuinely exist.

1 Introduction

In a recent article [1] we proposed a definition of a contact transformation for an ordinary difference scheme ($O\Delta S$) of order K

$$\begin{aligned} & E_a(\{x_k\},\{y_k\}, k=n+M, n+M+1, \dots, n+N)=0 \\ & a=1,2 \quad K=N-M+1, \quad n,M,N \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad N>M. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

The Lie algebra of the symmetry group of contact transformations in [1] was realized by vector fields of the form

$$X=\xi_n \partial_{x_n}+\phi_n \partial_{y_n} \tag{1.2}$$

where the functions ξ_n and ϕ_n satisfy the following conditions:

- They depend on $J \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ points $(x_{n+k}, y_{n+k}), k=0,1,\dots J-1$ with $J \geq 2$ for at least one vector field in the symmetry algebra;

- The Lie algebra should be integrable to a Lie group. This implies that all coefficients in the $J-th$ prolongation of the vector fields X should depend only on $(x_{n+k}, y_{n+k}), 0 \leq k \leq J$, and not on any further points;
- In the continuous limit the algebra and the symmetry group of the $O\Delta S$ (1.1) should reduce to the Lie algebra and Lie group of the ordinary differential equation (ODE) that is the continuous limit of (1.1).

It was shown in [1] that contact transformations satisfying theses conditions do not exist. The theorems proven in [1] are difference analogues of Bäcklund's famous theorem [7] from which it follows that contact transformations can only depend on the first derivatives. Indeed, those that depend on higher derivatives are prolongations of point transformations [7, 10].

The negative result presented in [1] leaves open the possibility that a more general class of transformations exists, that takes solutions of the $O\Delta S$ (1.1) into solutions, and reduces to contact transformations in the continuous limit. The purpose of this article is to show that this is indeed the case.

In Section 2 we consider a general $n-th$ order ODE and replace it by a system of two lower order equations. We show that some point symmetries of the system give rise to contact transformations for the original n-th order equation. In particular we show that the point symmetries of the system $u' = v, v'' = 0$ give rise to the 10 dimensional invariance group of contact transformations of the ODE $u''' = 0$.

In Section 3 we apply the same approach to difference systems. We discretize system $u' = v, v'' = 0$ in a manner that preserves a 7-dimensional subalgebra of its Lie point symmetry algebra. We then eliminate the variable v from the system and obtain a third order $O\Delta S$ for the variable $u(x)$ allowing a group of transformations that in continuous limit include contact transformations.

Section 4 is devoted to conclusions. We suggest there a less restrictive definition of contact transformations for $O\Delta S$ then the one given in [1].

2 Contact symmetries of an ODE as a point symmetries of a system of ODEs

Let us consider an m-th order ODE

$$u^{(m)} = F(x, u, u', \ldots, u^{(m-1)}), \quad m \geq 3. \tag{2.1}$$

It can always be replaced by a system of lower order equations, for instance by putting

$$u' = v, \qquad v^{(m-1)} = F(x, u, v, v', \ldots, v^{(m-2)}). \tag{2.2}$$

With this choice contact symmetries of (2.1) are reduced to point symmetries of the system (2.2). The Lie algebra of *point symmetries* of the system (2.2) is realized by vector fields of the form

$$X = \xi(x, u, v)\partial_x + \phi(x, u, v)\partial_u + \psi(x, u, v)\partial_v \tag{2.3}$$

such that the prolongation $pr^{(n-1)}X$ of X annihilates the system (2.2) on its solution set.

Since the solution sets of the single equation (2.1) and the system (2.2) coincide the vector fields (2.3) will also generate symmetry transformations for the ODE (2.1). Returning to the original variables in (2.3) we have

$$X = \xi(x,u,u')\partial_x + \phi(x,u,u')\partial_u + \phi^{(1)}(x,u,u')\partial_{u'}. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, if ξ or ϕ in (2.3) depend on v then (2.4) will correspond to the first prolongation of a contact transformation for the ODE (2.1) with

$$\psi(x,u,v) = \phi^{(1)}(x,u,u'). \tag{2.5}$$

Proceeding in this manner we find all point symmetries of the system (2.2) and some, if not all contact symmetries of the ODE (2.1).

Let us now consider the converse problem. Given a finite dimensional Lie algebra of vector fields of the form (2.3), what is the most general system of two second order equations of the form (2.2), invariant under the corresponding group of point transformations? For simplicity we concentrate on the case of a second order system, i.e. $n = 3$ in (2.1) and (2.2).

We choose a basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_s\}$ for the given Lie algebra and write the second order prolongations of the basis vectors as

$$\begin{aligned} pr^{(2)}X_i &= \xi_i\partial_x + \phi_i\partial_u + \psi_i\partial_v + \phi^x\partial_{u'} + \psi^x\partial_{v'} + \phi^{xx}\partial_{u''} + \psi^{xx}\partial_{v''}, \qquad (2.6)\\ i &= 1,\dots,s. \end{aligned}$$

To find the differential invariants we must solve the system of quasilinear PDEs

$$pr^{(2)}X_iF(x,u,v,u',v',u'',v'') = 0, \quad i = 1,\dots,s. \tag{2.7}$$

The system can be written as a system of equations in matrix form as

$$MU = 0, \qquad U^T = (F_x, F_u, F_v, F'_u, F'_v, F''_u, F''_v). \tag{2.8}$$

"Strong invariants" are obtained if the matrix M has maximal rank $r_M = min(N,7)$. The number of such invariants is $N_S = 7 - r_M$ and they are invariant on the entire jet space.

The rank of M can be $r < r_M$ on some submanifold and then further invariants, "weak invariants" can exist. The number of all invariants is $N = 7 - r$.

Let us now consider a specific example for which all contact symmetries are obtained from the point symmetries of a lower order system. The equation (2.1) is specified to

$$u''' = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

and the system (2.2) is

$$u' = v, \qquad v'' = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Following a standard procedure [2] we find that the Lie point symmetry algebra of (2.10) is isomorphic to the de Sitter algebra $o(3,2)$ realized by vector fields with a basis:

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1 &= \partial_u \\
X_2 &= x\partial_u + \partial_v \\
X_3 &= \partial_x \\
X_4 &= x^2\partial_u + 2x\partial_v \\
X_5 &= x\partial_x - v\partial_v \\
X_6 &= u\partial_u + v\partial_v \\
X_7 &= 2v\partial_x + v^2\partial_u \\
X_8 &= x^2\partial_x + 2xu\partial_u + 2u\partial_v \\
X_9 &= 2(xv-u)\partial_x + xv^2\partial_u + v^2\partial_v \\
X_{10} &= 2x(2u-xv)\partial_x + (4u^2 - x^2v^2)\partial_u + 2v(2u-xv)\partial_v .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

We shall denote the corresponding local Lie group G.

By construction (2.11) is the Lie algebra of *point* transformations of the *system* (2.10). If we eliminate v from (2.10) we return to the *single* third order ODE (2.9). Eliminating v in the same way from the vector field (2.11) we obtain the *first prolongation* of the Lie algebra of *contact* symmetries of (2.9) in the form (2.4).

Specifically for the vector fields (2.11), we see that ξ and ϕ do not depend on v for $X_1, \ldots, X_6$ and X_8 so they will generate point symmetries for (2.1). On the other hand X_7, X_9 and X_{10} turn into contact symmetries of (2.1) after the substitution (2.5).

We mention that this is an alternative way of calculating the group of contact symmetries for (2.9) to the standard one, used for example in Ref [3]. The result is of course same.

Let us now consider the converse problem for the subalgebra $L_0 = \{X_1, \ldots, X_7\}$ where X_7 generates a contact transformation for the ODE (2.9).

The matrix M of (2.8) has $r_M = 7$ so the group corresponding to L_0 has no strong invariants.

However for $u' = v,\ v'' = 0$ we have $r(M) = 5$ and on this manifold the system of ODEs (2.10) is invariant (or at least "weakly invariant"). Moreover, applying the prolongations of X_8, X_9 and X_{10} to the system (2.2) we verify that this system is invariant under the entire de Sitter group $G \sim O(3,2)$. To sum up, the only invariants of $G \sim O(3,2)$ and G_0 in this case are weak ones, namely

$$I_1 = u' - v = 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad I_2 = v'' = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

All subgroups of $SO(3,2)$ were classified into conjugacy classes in Ref [4]. The subgroup $G_0 \subset G$ corresponding to the Lie algebra $L_0 = \{X_1, \ldots, X_7\}$ is isomorphic to one of the 7 maximal subgroups of $SO(3,2)$ called the "optical group" $Opt(2,1)$ of 2+1 dimensional Minkowski space. The algebra L_0 was already known to S. Lie [11, 12] as was its 6 dimensional subalgebra $\tilde{L_0} =$

$\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_7\}$. The algebras $L, L_0, \tilde{L_0}$ are the only finite dimensional Lie algebras of contact transformations of a complex plane (other than point transformations) [11, 12].

3 Contact symmetries of an $O\Delta S$ as point symmetries of a lower order system?

Let us now try to produce an analogue of contact transformations for a difference system in the same manner as we did for the ODE (2.9) in Section 2.

We again start from the subalgebra $L_0 = \{X_1, \dots X_7\}$ and look for a difference system that allows L_0 as a Lie point symmetry algebra. We use the formalism for symmetries of $O\Delta S$ as outlined in [1, 5, 8]. The idea is to construct a 3 point difference system

$$E_a(\{x_k, u_k, v_k\}_{k=n,n+1,n+2}) = 0, \quad a = 1, 2, 3 \tag{3.1}$$

which has L_0 as its symmetry algebra and reduces to the system (2.10) in the continuous limit.

In order to facilitate the continuous limit we use the variables

$$\begin{array}{lll} x_n, & h_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - x_n, & h_{n+2} = x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} \\ u_n, & p^{(1)}_{n+1} = \frac{u_{n+1}-u_n}{x_{n+1}-x_n}, & p^{(2)}_{n+2} = 2\dfrac{p^{(1)}_{n+2} - p^{(1)}_{n+1}}{x_{n+2} - x_n} \\ v_n, & q^{(1)}_{n+1} = \frac{v_{n+1}-v_n}{x_{n+1}-x_n}, & q^{(2)}_{n+2} = 2\dfrac{q^{(1)}_{n+2} - q^{(1)}_{n+1}}{x_{n+2} - x_n} \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

instead of $x_{n+k}, y_{n+k}, k = 0, 1, 2$.

The relevant prolongations of the vector fields in the variables have the form:

$$\begin{aligned} prX &= \xi_n \partial_{x_n} + \phi_n \partial_{u_n} + \psi_n \partial_{v_n} + \phi^{(1)}_{n+1} \partial_{p^{(1)}_{n+1}} + \psi^{(1)}_{n+1} \partial_{q^{(1)}_{n+1}} \\ &+ \phi^{(2)}_{n+2} \partial_{p^{(2)}_{n+2}} + \psi^{(2)}_{n+2} \partial_{q^{(2)}_{n+2}} + \lambda^{(1)} \partial_{h_{n+1}} + \lambda^{(2)} \partial_{h_{n+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\lambda^{(k)}, \phi^{(k)}$ and $\psi^{(k)}$ are calculated using the results given in I, namely

$$\lambda^{(k)} = \xi_{n+k} - \xi_{n+k-1} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\phi^{(k)}_{n+k} = \frac{k}{\sum_{j=1}^k h_{n+j}} h_{n+k} \Delta^T \phi^{(k-1)}_{n+k-1} - p^{(k)}_{n+k} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^k h_{n+j}} \sum_{j=1}^k h_{n+j} \Delta^T \xi_{n+j-1}$$

$$\psi^{(k)}_{n+k} = \frac{k}{\sum_{j=1}^k h_{n+j}} h_{n+k} \Delta^T \psi^{(k-1)}_{n+k-1} - q^{(k)}_{n+k} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^k h_{n+j}} \sum_{j=1}^k h_{n+j} \Delta^T \xi_{n+j-1}$$

where Δ^T is the total difference operator

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^T F(x_n, u_n, v_n, p^{(1)}_{n+1}, q^{(1)}_{n+1}, ...) &= \frac{1}{h_{n+1}} \{F(x_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}, p^{(1)}_{n+2}, q^{(1)}_{n+2}, ...) \\ &\quad - F(x_n, u_n, v_n, p^{(1)}_{n+1}, q^{(1)}_{n+1}, ...)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

More specifically we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
prX_1 &= \partial_{u_n} \qquad\qquad (3.5)\\
prX_2 &= x_n\partial_{u_n} + \partial_{v_n} + \partial_{p^{(1)}_{n+1}}\\
prX_3 &= \partial_{x_n}\\
prX_4 &= x_n^2\partial_{u_n} + 2x_n\partial_{v_n} + (2x_n + h_{n+1})\partial_{p^{(1)}_{n+1}} + 2\partial_{q^{(1)}_{n+1}} + 2\partial_{p^{(2)}_{n+2}}\\
prX_5 &= x_n\partial_{x_n} - v_n\partial_{v_n} - p^{(1)}_{n+1}\partial_{p^{(1)}_{n+1}} - 2q^{(1)}_{n+1}\partial_{q^{(1)}_{n+1}} - 2p^{(2)}_{n+2}\partial_{p^{2)}_{n+2}} - 3q^{(2)}_{n+2}\partial_{q^{(2)}_{n+2}}\\
&\quad +h_{n+1}\partial_{h_{n+1}} + h_{n+2}\partial_{h_{n+2}}\\
prX_6 &= u_n\partial_{u_n} + v_n\partial_{v_n} + p^{(1)}_{n+1}\partial_{p^{(1)}_{n+1}} + q^{(1)}_{n+1}\partial_{q^{(1)}_{n+1}} + p^{(2)}_{n+2}\partial_{p^{(2)}_{n+2}} + q^{(2)}_{n+2}\partial_{q^{(2)}_{n+2}}\\
prX_7 &= 2v_n\partial_{x_n} + v_n^2\partial_{u_n} + 2h_{n+1}q^{(1)}_{n+1}\partial_{h_{n+1}}\\
&\quad +2h_{n+2}(q^{(1)}_{n+1} + \frac{h_{n+1}+h_{n+2}}{2}q^{(2)}_{n+2})\partial_{h_{n+2}}\\
&\quad +(2v_nq^{(1)}_{n+1} - 2p^{(1)}_{n+1}q^{(1)}_{n+1} + h_{n+1}(q^{(1)}_{n+1})^2)\partial_{p^{(1)}_{n+1}}\\
&\quad -2(q^{(1)}_{n+1})^2\partial_{q^{(1)}_{n+1}}\\
&\quad +\phi^{(2)}_{n+2}\partial_{p^{(2)}_{n+2}} + \psi^{(2)}_{n+2}\partial_{q^{(2)}_{n+2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{(2)}_{n+2} &= 2q^{(1)}_{n+1}q^{(2)}_{n+2}h_{n+1} - h_{n+1}p^{(2)}_{n+2}q^{(2)}_{n+2} + \frac{1}{2}(q^{(2)}_{n+2})^2(h_{n+2})^2 + 2(q^{(1)}_{n+1})^2\\
&\quad +2v_nq^{(2)}_{n+2} + 2q^{(1)}_{n+1}q^{(2)}_{n+2}h_{n+2} - 2h_{n+2}p^{(2)}_{n+2}q^{(2)}_{n+2}\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}(q^{(2)}_{n+2})^2h_{n+2}h_{n+1}\\
&\quad -4p^{(2)}_{n+2}q^{(1)}_{n+1} - 2p^{(1)}_{n+1}q^{(2)}_{n+2}\\
\psi^{(2)}_{n+2} &= -h_{n+1}(q^{(2)}_{n+2})^2 - 2h_{n+2}(q^{(2)}_{n+2})^2 - 6q^{(1)}_{n+1}q^{(2)}_{n+2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

To calculate invariants of the subgroup corresponding to (3.5) we impose

$$
\begin{gathered}
prX_aF(x_n, u_n, v_n, p^{(1)}_{n+1}, q^{(1)}_{n+1}, p^{(2)}_{n+2}, q^{(2)}_{n+2}, h_{n+1}, h_{n+2}) = 0, \qquad (3.6)\\
a = 1, \dots, 7.
\end{gathered}
$$

In matrix form (3.6) can be written as

$$
MU_d = 0, \qquad U_d^T = (F_{x_n}, F_{u_n}, F_{v_n}, F_{p^{(1)}_{n+1}}, F_{q^{(1)}_{n+1}}, F_{p^{(2)}_{n+2}}, F_{q^{(2)}_{n+2}}, F_{h_{n+1}}, F_{h_{n+2}}).
$$

As in the continuous case, to get a sufficient number of invariants we must restrict to an invariant manifold on which the rank of M is $r(M) = 5$, rather than $r(M) = 7$ as in the generic case. The invariant manifold in this case is given by

$$
I_1 = p^{(1)}_{n+1} - v_n - \frac{1}{2}q^{(1)}_{n+1} = 0, \qquad I_2 = q^{(2)}_{n+2} = 0. \qquad (3.7)
$$

and on this manifold the invariants are

$$\begin{aligned} &I_1 = 0, \quad I_2 = 0 \\ &I_3 = \frac{h_{n+2}}{h_{n+1}}, \quad I_4 = (h_{n+1})^2 (p^{(2)}_{n+2} - q^{(1)}_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

An invariant difference scheme that reduces to the system (2.2) in the continuous limit $h_{n+k} \to 0$ is

$$p^{(1)}_{n+1} - v_n - \frac{1}{2} h_{n+1} q^{(1)}_{n+1} = 0, \quad q^{(2)}_{n+2} = 0, \quad h_{n+2} = c h_{n+1} \tag{3.9}$$

where c is an arbitrary real constant and in particular $c = 1$ corresponds to a uniform lattice.

The $O\Delta S$ (3.9) is invariant under the group G_0.

Let us now eliminate the variable v_n from the system (3.9). Taking the discrete derivative of the first equation in (3.9) and using the second equation $q^{(2)}_{n+2} = 0$ we obtain

$$q^{(1)}_{n+1} = p^{(2)}_{n+2} \tag{3.10}$$

thus $I_1 = I_2 = 0$ implies $I_4 = 0$ in (3.8). Taking the discrete derivative of 3.10 we obtain

$$q^{(2)}_{n+2} = \frac{2(h_{n+1} + h_{n+2} + h_{n+3})}{3(h_{n+1} + h_{n+2})} p^{(3)}_{n+3} \tag{3.11}$$

and hence we have

$$p^{(3)}_{n+3} = 0, \quad h_{n+2} = c h_{n+1} \tag{3.12}$$

as a consequence of (3.9).

The difference system (3.9), together with its difference consequence (3.10) is thus invariant under the Lie group G_0. Moreover, the equations $I_1 = I_2 = I_4 = 0$ are invariant under the entire group $G \sim O(3,2)$ however $I_3 = c$ is invariant only under $G_0 \sim Opt(2,1)$. For the system (3.9) G and G_0 are groups of point transformations.

Now let us consider the third order difference scheme

$$p^{(3)}_{n+3} = 0, \quad h_{n+2} = c h_{n+1}. \tag{3.13}$$

We can obtain its symmetry algebra from L_0 by eliminating $v_n, q^{(1)}_{n+1}$ and $q^{(2)}_{n+2}$ from all the expressions in (3.5). From (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$v_n = p^{(1)}_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} h_{n+1} p^{(2)}_{n+2}. \tag{3.14}$$

To get the actual vector fields of the symmetry algebra of the $O\Delta S$ (3.12) (as opposed to their prolongations) we need to keep only the coefficients of ∂_{x_n} and ∂_{u_n}. From (3.5) we see that $\{X_1, \ldots, X_6\}$ remain as point transformations for the $O\Delta S$, however X_7 corresponds to a contact transformation

$$X_7 = \left(p^{(1)}_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} h_{n+1} p^{(2)}_{n+2} \right) \partial_{x_n} + \left(p^{(1)}_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} h_{n+1} p^{(2)}_{n+2} \right)^2 \partial_{u_n}. \tag{3.15}$$

The third prolongation of X_7 will have the form

$$prX_7 = X_7+\phi^{(1)}\partial_{p^{(1)}_{n+1}}+\phi^{(2)}\partial_{p^{(2)}_{n+2}}+\phi^{(3)}\partial_{p^{(3)}_{n+3}}+\lambda^{(1)}\partial_{h_{n+1}}+\lambda^{(2)}\partial_{h_{n+2}}+\lambda^{(3)}\partial_{h_{n+3}} \tag{3.16}$$

The coefficients $\phi^{(k)}, \lambda^{(k)}$ in (3.16) were calculated using Maple and they are too long to reproduce here. The important fact is that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{(k)} &= \phi^{(k)}(p^{(j+2)}_{n+j+2}, h_{n+j+2}), \qquad 0 \le j \le k && (3.17)\\ \lambda^{(k)} &= \lambda^{(k)}(p^{(j)}_{n+j+2}, h_{n+j+2}). && (3.18) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the algebra cannot be integrated to Lie group as shown in general in our previous article [1].

However, on the solution set of the $O\Delta S$ (3.13) we have $p^{(3)}_{n+3} = 0$ and $pr^{(3)}X_7$ (3.16) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} prX_7|_{p^{(3)}_{n+3}=0} &= (p^{(1)}_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}h_{n+1}p^{(2)}_{n+2})\partial_{x_n} + (p^{(1)}_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}h_{n+1}p^{(2)}_{n+2})^2\partial_{u_n}\\ &\quad +0\,\partial_{p^{(1)}_{n+1}} - (p^{(2)}_{n+2})^2\partial_{p^{(2)}_{n+2}} + 0\,\partial_{p^{(3)}_{n+3}} \qquad (3.19)\\ &\quad +p^{(2)}_{n+2}(h_{n+1}\partial_{h_{n+1}} + h_{n+2}\partial_{h_{n+2}} + h_{n+3}\partial_{h_{n+3}}). \end{aligned}$$

This can be integrated to give a one parameter group of contact transformations, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_n &= x_n + \lambda\left(p^{(1)}_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}h_{n+1}p^{(2)}_{n+2}\right)\\ \tilde{u}_n &= u_n + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\left(p^{(1)}_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}h_{n+1}p^{(2)}_{n+2}\right)^2 \qquad (3.20)\\ \tilde{p}^{(1)}_{n+1} &= p^{(1)}_{n+1}, \qquad \tilde{p}^{(2)}_{n+2} = \frac{p^{(2)}_{n+2}}{1+\lambda p^{(2)}_{n+2}}, \qquad \tilde{p}^{(3)}_{n+3} = p^{(3)}_{n+3}\\ \tilde{h}^{(k)}_{n+k} &= h_{n+k}(1+\lambda p^{(2)}_{n+2}), \qquad k = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

In the continuous case we obtain

$$\tilde{x} = x + \lambda u_x, \qquad \tilde{u} = u + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(u_x)^2, \qquad \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} = u_x. \tag{3.21}$$

It is easy to see that the (3.21) leaves the ODE (2.9) invariant and the $O\Delta S$ (3.13) is invariant under the transformation (3.20)

4 Conclusions

The main conclusion is that the definition of contact symmetries for difference schemes given in [1] was too restrictive. It required that the Lie algebra of contact transformations be integrable to a Lie group on the entire jet space.

Let us propose a less restrictive definition

Definition 4.1 *The vector fields (1.2) where ξ_n and ϕ_n are functions of $\{x_{n+j}, y_{n+j}, j = 1, \dots J\}$ form a Lie algebra of contact symmetries of $O\Delta S$ (1.1) if they satisfy the following conditions*

- $$pr^{(N)} X E_a|_{E_1=E_2} = 0, \ \ a = 1, 2; \tag{4.1}$$
- *At least one of the vector fields in the Lie algebra has $J \geq 2$;*
- *The Lie algebra should be integrable to a Lie group at least on the invariant surface defined by the $O\Delta S$ (1.1);*
- *In the continuous limit the symmetry algebra and Lie group reduce to a Lie algebra and group of contact symmetries of the corresponding ODE.*

The algebra (3.5) constructed in Section 3 is the algebra of contact symmetries of the $O\Delta S$ (3.12). This provides an example of the fact that Definition 1 is not empty: such contact symmetries of $O\Delta S$ do exist!

Some more specific conclusions concerning the example of ODE $y''' = 0$ can be drawn.

- The ODE (2.9) has a 10 dimensional symmetry group of contact transformations G. The $O\Delta S$ (3.12) is only invariant under a 7-dimensional subgroup of contact transformations $G_0 \subset G$. The equation $p^{(3)}_{n+3} = 0$ is actually invariant under the larger group G, but the lattice condition $h_{n+2} = ch_{n+1}$ is only invariant under G_0.

  The situation is similar for the second order equation $y'' = 0$. The ODE is invariant under the group $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ of point transformations. The corresponding $O\Delta S$ is invariant under a 6-dimensional subgroup of $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ isomorphic to the group of general affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^2$ [6].
- The Lie algebras of point symmetries of the $O\Delta S$ and the ODE are realized by identical vector fields (with the correspondence $(x, y) \leftrightarrow (x_n, y_n)$. The contact symmetry is however different: the derivative $p = u'$ is not replaced by $p^{(1)}_{n+1}$ but by $p^{(1)}_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} h_n p^{(2)}_{n+2}$ (see (3.15) as opposed to X_7 in (2.11)).
- The contact transformation X_7 for the $O\Delta S$ (3.12) involves "second order contact", i.e. ξ_n and ϕ_n in (3.15) depend on $p^{(1)}_{n+1}$ and $p^{(2)}_{n+2}$. In the continuous limit $h_{n+1} \to 0$ this reduces to first order contact ($p = u_x$ only). This is in agreement with Bäcklund's theorem stating that contact transformations for an ODE are of most of order 1 [7].

In [9] the authors introduced the concept of "internal" and "external" symmetries. External symmetries are defined on the entire jet space, internal ones only on the submanifold of the solutions of the equation. External symmetries refer only to strong invariants, internal symmetries also to weak ones. As stressed in [9] Bäcklund's theorem [7] actually only applies to external symmetries.

In [1] we have shown that the only external symmetries of an $O\Delta S$ are point ones. Here we have shown that the $O\Delta S$ can allow a class of higher symmetries that reduces to contact ones in the continuous limit. In the terminology of Ref [9] these are internal symmetries. They do not necessarily depend only on first order discrete derivatives.

It remains to determine whether this class of higher symmetries is actually useful, in particular whether it can be used to obtain solutions of ordinary difference schemes. To answer this question we are planning to study symmetry preserving discretizations of nontrivial ODEs that allow symmetry groups of genuine contact transformations [13].

Acknowledgement

We thank Vladimir Dorodnitsyn and Martin Thoma for helpful discussions. The research of PW was partly supported by a research grant from NSERC of Canada. LD and SC have been partly supported by the Italian Ministry of Education and Research, PRIN "Continuous and discrete nonlinear integrable evolutions: from water waves to symplectic maps" from 2010. ZT thanks CRM, where parts of the research were carried out, for hospitality.

References

[1] Levi D, Thomova Z and Winternitz P 2011 Are there contact transformations for discrete equations? *J.Phys. A Math. and Gen.* **44** 265201

[2] Olver P J 1993 *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, Springer Verlag

[3] Hydon P E 1989 *Differential Equations. Their Solution Using Symmetries*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

[4] Patera J, Sharp R T, Winternitz P and Zassenhaus H 1977 Continuous subgroups of the fundamental groups of physics III. The de Sitter groups. *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 2259-2288

[5] Levi D and Winternitz P 2006 Continuous symmetries of difference equations. *J. Phys. A* **39**, no. 2, R1-R63

[6] Dorodnitsyn V A, Kozlov R and Winternitz P 2000 Lie group classification of second-order ordinary difference equations *J.Phys. A Math. and Gen.* **39** 480-503

[7] Bäcklund A V 1876 Über Flächentransformationen *Math. Ann.* **9** 297–320

[8] Dorodnitsyn V A 2011 *Applications of Lie Groups to Difference Equations*, CRC Press

[9] Anderson I M, Kamran N and Olver P J 1993 Internal, external and generalized symmetries *Adv. Math* **100** 53-100

[10] Ibragimov N H 1984 *Transformation Groups Applied to Mathematical Physics* D. Reidel, Dordrecht

[11] Lie S 1874 Begrundung einer Invariantentheorie der Berührungstransformationen, *Mathematische Annalen* **8** 215-288.

[12] Lie S and Engel F 1890 Theorie der Transformationsgruppen, B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, Vol. 2,

[13] Wafo Soh C, Mahomed F M and Qu C 2002 Contact symmetry algebras of scalar ordinary differential equations *Nonlinear Dynam.* **28** 213-230